

I



County of El Paso Purchasing Department
500 East San Antonio, Room 500
El Paso, Texas 79901
(915) 546-2048 / Fax: (915) 546-8180

ADDENDUM 3

To: All Interested Proposers

From: Lucy Balderama, Inventory Bid Technician

Date: June 6, 2008

Subject: RFP # 08-064, Dual Itanium Server Solution for the County's

The Purchasing Department received questions relating to the above referenced proposal; the response to the following question:

- 1. Is this to be racked into existing rack that is appropriate/supported?**

Racks will be provided. Equipment must have rails.

- 2. What are the PDUs required or will it be direct wall powered? What are the power requirements?**

The system can be either 110 or 240. PDUs should be supplied with the systems

- 3. What is the term of the support and what degree of support is required for the solution?**

3 years Technical Support with 4 hour parts

- 4. What is the FC-attached SAN storage subsystem? Is it assumed to be supported by the specifications presented?**

The SAN is EMC Clariion CX700 through brocade switches

-
5. **What level of "exceeds" specifications is expected? What are the processors, storage memory growth/capacity implications?**

This system should be Spec'ed for 3 to 5 years of capacity

6. **On page 2 of the RFP, it states to submit 1 original and 2 copies of the vendors response. On page 7, it state to submit 1 original and 8 copies. Could you please clarify?**

Please Submit 1 original and 8 Copies (The 1/2 is a misprint)

7. **On page 8 of the RFP, it states that there is a vendor conference. Please clarify if statement is correct.**

Vendor Conference has passed.

8. **On page 8, it states that we must submit references. Please clarify how many references the County is requesting? Also, please clarify what is meant by "entities. Is it okay to submit reference who use an alternative manufacture's solutions? (i.e. IBM)**

The county is interested in (3) three references and those entities should be in the local government or related industry.

9. **Please clarify if it's okay to propose an alternative solution t the HP Dual Itanium Server Solution requested in the RFP?**

The solution should be an Itanium based solution that will run HP-UX 11i with HP Service Guard as the cluster software and Mirror Disk UX as the database mirroring. The vendor will provide all installations for Service Guard and Mirror Disk.

10. **If it is okay, to propose an alternative solution, is it okay to submit references that fit that solution rather than the HP?**

Only if the solution meets the requirements described in question 9